

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
MACON DIVISION

CAREY L. ROSS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:10-CV-401 (MTT)
)	
KEVIN McMICHAEL, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. (Doc. 81). Pursuant to Local Rule 7.6, "Motions for Reconsideration shall not be filed as a matter of routine practice." M.D. Ga. L.R. 7.6. "Reconsideration is appropriate only if the movant demonstrates (1) that there has been an intervening change in the law, (2) that new evidence has been discovered which was not previously available to the parties in the exercise of due diligence, or (3) that the court made a clear error of law." *Bingham v. Nelson*, 2010 WL 339806, at *1 (M.D. Ga.) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "In order to demonstrate clear error, the party moving for reconsideration *must do more than simply restate his prior arguments*, and any arguments which the party inadvertently failed to raise earlier are deemed waived." *McCoy v. Macon Water Auth.*, 966 F. Supp. 1209, 1223 (M.D. Ga. 1997) (emphasis added).

Here, the Plaintiff has not met his burden. He has alleged no intervening change in the law, has presented no new evidence not previously available, and the Court is not persuaded its previous ruling was clearly erroneous. The Plaintiff fails to show why he

is entitled to a transcript at no cost. If the Plaintiff wishes to obtain an original transcript of his non-jury trial, he must pay the customary fee of \$3.65 per page to the court reporter. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED, this 19th day of August, 2013.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT